



PATENT APPLICATION

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re the Application of:

Jyrki MATTILA

Group Art Unit: 2687

Application No.: 10/699,689

Examiner: Lam, Dung Le

Filed: November 4, 2003

Attorney Dkt. No.: 59643.00310

For: DYNAMIC COVERAGE AND CAPACITY SOLUTION FOR CELLULAR
RADIO NETWORK

CLAIM FOR PRIORITY UNDER 35 USC § 119

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

February 3, 2006

Sir:

The benefit of the filing dates of the following prior foreign application filed in the following foreign country is hereby requested for the above-identified patent application and the priority provided in 35 U.S.C. §119 is hereby claimed:

Patent Application No. 0309323.4 filed on April 24, 2003 in Great Britain

In support of this claim, a certified copy of said original foreign application is filed herewith.

It is requested that the file of this application be marked to indicate that the requirements of 35 U.S.C. §119 have been fulfilled and that the Patent and Trademark Office kindly acknowledge receipt of this document.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)

Please charge any fee deficiency or credit any overpayment with respect to this paper to Counsel's Deposit Account No. 50-2222.

Respectfully submitted,



David E. Brown

Registration No. 51,091

Customer No. 32294

SQUIRE, SANDERS & DEMPSEY LLP

14TH Floor

8000 Towers Crescent Drive

Tysons Corner, Virginia 22182-2700

Telephone: 703-720-7800

Fax: 703-720-7802

DEB:jkm

Enclosure: Priority Document (1)

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)



The Patent Office
Concept House
Cardiff Road
Newport
South Wales
NP10 8QQ

I, the undersigned, being an officer duly authorised in accordance with Section 74(1) and (4) of the Deregulation & Contracting Out Act 1994, to sign and issue certificates on behalf of the Comptroller-General, hereby certify that annexed hereto is a true copy of the documents as originally filed in connection with the patent application identified therein.

In accordance with the Patents (Companies Re-registration) Rules 1982, if a company named in this certificate and any accompanying documents has re-registered under the Companies Act 1980 with the same name as that with which it was registered immediately before re-registration save for the substitution as, or inclusion as, the last part of the name of the words "public limited company" or their equivalents in Welsh, references to the name of the company in this certificate and any accompanying documents shall be treated as references to the name with which it is so re-registered.

In accordance with the rules, the words "public limited company" may be replaced by p.l.c., plc, L.C. or PLC.

Re-registration under the Companies Act does not constitute a new legal entity but merely subjects the company to certain additional company law rules.

Signed

Dated 3 November 2005

**CERTIFIED COPY OF
PRIORITY DOCUMENT**

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)

The Patent Office
Cardiff Road
Newport
Gwent NP9 1RH

Request for grant of a patent

(See the notes on the back of this form. You can also get an explanatory leaflet from the Patent Office to help you fill in this form)

1. Your reference

302472GB/DJW/ac

2. Patent application number

(The Patent Office will fill in this part)

24 APR 2003

0309323.4

3. Full name, address and postcode of the or of each applicant (underline all surnames)

NOKIA CORPORATION
KEILALAHDENTIE 4
02150 ESPOO
FINLAND

Patents ADP number (if you know it)

07652217001

If the applicant is a corporate body, give the country/state of its incorporation

FINLAND

4. Title of the invention

DYNAMIC COVERAGE AND CAPACITY
SOLUTION FOR CELLULAR RADIO NETWORK

5. Name of your agent (if you have one)

"Address for service" in the United Kingdom to which all correspondence should be sent (including the postcode)

PAGE WHITE & FARRER
54 DOUGHTY STREET
LONDON
WC1N 2LS
UNITED KINGDOM

Patents ADP number (if you know it)

1255003

6. If you are declaring priority from one or more earlier patent applications, give the country and the date of filing of the or of each of these earlier applications and (if you know it) the or each application number

Country

Priority application number

Date of filing

(if you know it)

(day / month / year)

7. If this application is divided or otherwise derived from an earlier UK application, give the number and the filing date of the earlier application

Number of earlier application

Date of filing

(day / month / year)

8. Is a statement of inventorship and of right to grant of a patent required in support of this request? (Answer 'Yes' if:

YES

- a) any applicant named in part 3 is not an inventor, or
 - b) there is an inventor who is not named as an applicant, or
 - c) any named applicant is a corporate body
- See note (d))

Patents Form 1/77

9. Enter the number of sheets for any of the following items you are filing with this form. Do not count copies of the same document

Continuation sheets of this form

Description 25

Claim(s) 3 PL

Abstract 1

Drawing(s) 6+6

10. If you are also filing any of the following, state how many against each item.

Priority documents

Translations of priority documents

Statement of inventorship and right to grant of a patent (Patents Form 7/77)

Request for preliminary examination and search (Patents Form 9/77)

Request for substantive examination (Patents Form 10/77)

Any other documents (please specify)

11. I/We request the grant of a patent on the basis of this application.

Signature

Date

PAGE WHITE & FARRER 24 April 2003

David J Williams 020 7831 7929

12. Name and daytime telephone number of person to contact in the United Kingdom

Warning

After an application for a patent has been filed, the Comptroller of the Patent Office will consider whether publication or communication of the invention should be prohibited or restricted under Section 22 of the Patents Act 1977. You will be informed if it is necessary to prohibit or restrict your invention in this way. Furthermore, if you live in the United Kingdom, Section 23 of the Patents Act 1977 stops you from applying for a patent abroad without first getting written permission from the Patent Office unless an application has been filed at least 6 weeks beforehand in the United Kingdom for a patent for the same invention and either no direction prohibiting publication or communication has been given, or any such direction has been revoked.

Notes

- a) If you need help to fill in this form or you have any questions, please contact the Patent Office on 0645 500505.
- b) Write your answers in capital letters using black ink or you may type them.
- c) If there is not enough space for all the relevant details on any part of this form, please continue on a separate sheet of paper and write "see continuation sheet" in the relevant part(s). Any continuation sheet should be attached to this form.
- d) If you have answered 'Yes' Patents Form 7/77 will need to be filed.
- e) Once you have filled in the form you must remember to sign and date it.
- f) For details of the fee and ways to pay please contact the Patent Office.

DYNAMIC COVERAGE AND CAPACITY SOLUTION
FOR CELLULAR RADIO NETWORK

Field of the Invention

- 5 The present invention relates to cellular communication systems, and particularly to the optimisation of radio coverage and capacity at a cell level. The invention is particularly but not exclusively concerned with time division multiple access (TDMA) systems.

Background to the Invention

- 10 A typical cellular radio network consists of a system in which radio cells have both fixed coverage and fixed capacity performance, which can lead to extensive downlink capacity overhead and wasted resources. A time division multiple access (TDMA) system is an example of such a system.

- Such systems are either multicarriers or single carrier systems. A multicarrier
15 system includes a single transmission unit that can broadcast a plurality of carriers. A single carrier system includes a single transmission unit that can broadcast a single carrier. In such single carrier systems, in order to increase capacity it is necessary to use multiple transmission units, and combine the multiple carrier signals to an antenna.

- 20 Typically, a multicarrier system has a certain maximum downlink transmit power that is divided between the carriers used in the cell. That is, the more carriers in use then the less downlink power is allocated to the carriers (users), leading to diminished coverage of the radio cell. In a single carrier system addition of new carriers (to increase capacity) leads to increased combining losses if the amount
25 of antennas is not increased. Without a proper control of these systems capacity/coverage overhead exists, further leading to wasted resources. Network planning may also become a problem.

- In general, existing GSM radio networks are planned so that the cell coverage is determined by the carrier for the broadcast control channel (BCCH). All other
30 traffic carriers, if present, have the same coverage as the BCCH, with the same

service. This kind of a system provides the same service for the same amount of users over the whole cell area, taking no account of a users' location in the cell area. Typically this is a waste of resources.

5 A particular problem is related to the downlink in multicarrier systems. In a multicarrier system, the power that is allocated to the broadcast control channel determines the coverage of the entire cell, and the power which is 'left over' is used for other traffic carriers. If the output power of the system is 40W and the operator decides that the broadcast control channel is to be transmitted with 36W (i.e. 90% of the total power), then this leaves only 4W available for the remaining
10 traffic channels. If, for example, there are four further traffic channels, then the use of such traffic channels with the available power is clearly inefficient, as such channels are effectively useless.

As such, there is a need for network operators to carefully plan network design in the case of multicarrier systems.

15 Two prior art techniques have attempted to provide improved solutions in single carrier systems.

A first single carrier system prior art technique is known as intelligent coverage enhancement (ICE). This is a solution where the same area or sector is covered by two cells with different output powers, and these two cells share common
20 antennas. Because of the difference in power between the two cells, the coverage areas are not entirely coincident. However it is characteristic of this arrangement that the coverage area of the cell having a smaller output power is totally within the coverage area of the cell having the higher output power. This allows the use of common antennas.

25 An enhanced arrangement known as ICE+ allows different kinds of configuration, including different numbers of transmitters and antennas. However for both ICE and ICE+ functionality the coverage and capacity of the cells is fixed by the base transceiver station hardware.

30 A second single carrier system prior art technique is known as intelligent underlay/overlay (IUO). IUO is a feature designed to allow an operator to reuse frequencies more intensively, and hence achieve a higher radio network capacity.

In order to achieve a higher radio network capacity by means of IUO, the operating spectrum of the network is divided into regular frequencies and super-reuse frequencies. Continuous coverage areas provided by the overlay network utilise irregular frequencies. The super-reuse frequencies are intended to serve mobile stations which are, for example, close to the base transceiver station.

However, in IUO the coverage and capacity is again fixed by the base transceiver station hardware.

It is an aim of the present invention to provide an improved technique which addresses one or more of the above-identified problems.

10 Summary of the Invention

In a first aspect the present invention provides a cellular communication system comprising at least one cell, said cell being defined by a coverage layer defining a coverage of said cell and a capacity layer at least partly defining a capacity of said cell.

15 A power level of a carrier in the downlink of the coverage layer preferably defines the coverage of said cell. Said power level is preferably variable.

The number of carriers in the capacity layer may be variable. The power level of any carrier in the capacity layer may be variable.

A total transmission power for the downlink is preferably divided between the coverage and capacity cellular layers. The power available for each of the coverage and capacity layers is preferably divided between the carriers in the respective layers.

The system may be a multi-carrier system or a single carrier system.

25 In a second aspect there is provided a method of configuring a cellular communication system, comprising: determining a coverage layer for a cell defining a coverage of said cell; and determining a capacity layer for the cell at least partly defining a capacity of said cell.

A power level of a carrier in the coverage layer preferably defines the coverage of said cell. Said power level is preferably variable.

The number of carriers in the capacity layer is preferably variable. The power level of any carrier in the capacity layer is preferably variable.

There is preferably provided a total available power for the downlink, said power being divided between the coverage and capacity layers.

- 5 The addition of a carrier in the capacity layer may include selectively reducing the power of one or more carriers in the capacity layer.

A connection using a carrier in the capacity layer may be transferred to a carrier in the coverage layer to increase coverage for said connection.

- 10 A connection using a carrier in the coverage layer may be transferred to a carrier in the capacity layer to increase capacity of the cell.

- In a further aspect the present invention provides a base station of a mobile communication system including means for transmitting a carrier at a predetermined power level thereby defining a coverage area of a cell, and means for transmitting a variable number of carriers thereby defining, at least in part, a capacity of the cell.

The power levels of the variable numbers of carriers is preferably in dependence on the proximity of a mobile station associated with a carrier to the base station.

- 20 The total power of the variable number of carriers may be predetermined, the share of said predetermined power amongst the variable number of carriers being determined by the total number of said carriers.

The means for transmitting a variable number of users may be adapted to reduce the power allocated to one or more carriers responsive to an increase in the number of carriers.

Brief Description of the Drawings

- 25 The invention is now described by way of example with reference to the accompanying drawings, in which:

Figure 1 illustrates the concept of a dynamic coverage and capacity system in accordance with a preferred implementation of the present invention;

- 30 Figure 2 illustrates graphs representing an example embodiment of a multicarrier system;

Figure 3 illustrates graphs representing a further example embodiment of a multicarrier system;

Figure 4 illustrates an example implementation of power amplifiers in a single carrier system, and a graphical representation of the carrier signals;

5 Figure 5 illustrates an example implementation of power amplifiers in a single carrier system, and a graphical representation of the carrier signals;

Figure 6 illustrates an example implementation of power amplifiers in a single carrier system, and a graphical representation of the carrier signals; and

10 Figures 7 to 10 illustrate example cellular architectures associated with embodiments of the present invention.

Description of Preferred Embodiments

The present invention is now described herein by way of reference to particular non-limiting examples. In particular the present invention is described by way of
15 reference to a time division multiple access (TDMA) system, in particular a global system for mobile communications (GSM).

Referring to Figure 1, there is illustrated conceptually the principle of the present invention as applied in preferred embodiments. The technique of the present invention may be referred to as a dynamic coverage and capacity solution
20 (DCCS).

In accordance with the principles of this concept, for each cell there is defined two layers. The first layer 102, preferably referred to as a 'coverage layer', defines the maximum coverage area of the cell, and thus in effect defines the physical constraints of the cell. Any carrier signal transmitted in the coverage layer is
25 transmitted at a power level such that the carrier can be received by a mobile station located anywhere in the cell. The coverage layer is hence defined by the maximum power level for the cell, and for a carrier signal transmitted at the maximum power level represents the maximum physical size of the cell. In a GSM system, the broadcast control channel (BCCH) carrier is the minimum carrier
30 comprising the coverage layer. The broadcast control channel must be

transmitted over the entire cell, and thus the limitation of the broadcast control channel power dictates the physical limitation of the cell size. The coverage layer guarantees that any signal transmitted therein is received by any mobile station which is anywhere in the cell.

5 A second layer 104, termed a 'capacity layer', allows carriers to be added or removed from the cell, thereby varying the capacity of the cell. In particular, the capacity layer is associated with carriers in respect of which the power level may be varied, such that the range of such carriers may vary. That is, the power level of a carrier signal may vary in dependence upon the distance of an associated
10 mobile station from the base transceiver station. If a mobile station is close to the base transceiver station, then a relatively low power is required to communicate with the mobile station. If the mobile station is closer to the edge of the cell, then a relatively high power is required to communicate with the mobile station. Thus the power associated with each individual carrier is varied preferably in
15 dependence upon information relating to the position of the mobile station. This is represented in Figure 1 by the dash lines 104a, 104b, 104c, representing the transmission extremities of three different carriers associated with three different power levels. The power associated with each of these dashed lines may, in total, correspond to the power associated with the single dash line 104d, which
20 represents a carrier transmitted with sufficient power to cover the edges of the cell, i.e. a carrier transmitted with a power corresponding to the power level of carriers in the coverage layer 102.

In embodiments, therefore, a proportion of the total available power in the transmitter is allocated to each of the coverage layer 102 and the capacity layer
25 104. In the capacity layer 104, the available power is shared amongst the available carriers. In this way, the power level of various carriers can be adjusted without adjusting the overall power level, to ensure communication with all mobile stations with which such carriers are associated.

Users, or mobile stations, may be moved between carriers in the capacity and
30 coverage layers as their position changes or as system requirements change. For example, when a mobile station moves to the edge of the physical cell area, its connection may be moved from the capacity layer to the coverage layer (internal

handover). The same may happen in reverse. In such handovers, the radio resource management (RRM) orders the mobile station to change carrier frequency and selects a certain time slot from the new carrier in the coverage or capacity layer.

- 5 Carriers, which can be considered as one frequency in a frequency band, may also be moved between the capacity layer and the coverage layer in dependence upon system requirements. However if a carrier is moved between the capacity and coverage layers, the parameters of the cell are changed, as will become apparent from the following descriptions, particularly in relation to Tables 1 and 2
10 below. The process is 'heavier' than simply moving a connection. When a carrier is moved between layers the RRM must also take into account any effect on surrounding cells.

As such, the 'coverage layer' and 'capacity layer' may be utilised in order to dynamically control the coverage and capacity of carriers. The coverage of
15 certain carriers may be limited, in order to accommodate more carriers in the network. A further understanding of the principles of the present invention, and how the same may be carried into effect, can be understood from the below described examples. The present invention is described further hereinbelow in relation to two main examples: an example of a multicarrier system and an
20 example of a single carrier system.

Multicarrier systems and single carrier systems are referred to in the introductory part of the specification. A basic multicarrier system uses only one antenna for downlink transmission. Several carriers are produced by a single unit, which is connected to the one antenna. In the single carrier system only one carrier is
25 produced by a single unit. Thus, if several carriers are needed they must be combined to one antenna, or to two antennas as required for a DCCS system, or each carrier may have its own antenna in the downlink.

Multicarrier system

In multicarrier systems the radio cell is given a 'pole' coverage and a 'pole'
30 capacity depending on the performance of the base transceiver station (BTS) system. The pole coverage is defined to be the maximum coverage of the cell for a single carrier; i.e. the area coverage when the whole transmit power is used for

one carrier. The pole capacity is defined to be the maximum number of carriers that can be configured to a given cell using multicarrier technology. The pole coverage and pole capacity in the cell cannot be reached simultaneously as they represent opposite, or polar, conditions. However the radio resource management of the network has the capability to dynamically adjust the coverage and capacity of the cell between the pole values.

The pole values are referred to herein as part of a set of Coverage and Capacity Ratio (CCR) parameters. CCR parameters include a predefined power ratio (a power ratio between the coverage and capacity layers) and a predefined capacity ratio (a carrier ratio between the coverage and capacity layers). CCR parameters can be easily mapped to a table which can be utilised dynamically for the network (radio cells), and which table is used in network management.

Table 1 gives an example of a CCR parameters table with a relative coverage (pole coverage =1) and 8-carrier pole capacity without an antenna combining system. As an antenna combining system is not used, then each carrier is associated with a respective antenna. If an antenna combining system is used the CCR parameters have different values than those illustrated in the example of Table 1.

The first column of Table 1 lists the number, or identity, of the CCR parameters. The second column lists the power of the BCCH carrier relative to the other carriers in the cell. The third column lists the total capacity, i.e. the total number of carriers in the cell. In the example, the number of carriers is the BCCH carrier plus any traffic carriers. The fourth column lists the relative power of the traffic carrier(s) in the capacity layer to the traffic carrier(s) in the coverage layer. The fifth and sixth columns respectively list the capacity ratio and the power ratio.

Referring to Table 1, it can be seen that there are defined a set of eight CCR parameters. The two pole cases are discussed hereinbelow.

For CCR 1, it can be seen that the total number of carriers is one. This carrier is the BCCH carrier in the coverage layer. As such the capacity ratio is 1:0, and the power ratio is 1:0. The whole transmit power is used for a single carrier in this case, and this represents the pole coverage.

For CCR 8, it can be seen that the total number of carriers is eight. This is the BCCH carrier and seven traffic carriers. All traffic channels are allocated to the capacity layer, and as such the capacity ratio is 1:7. This represents the pole capacity: the system accommodates a maximum of eight carriers, and given that
 5 one of these (the BCCH carrier) must be allocated to the coverage layer, the maximum number of carriers that may be allocated to the capacity layer is seven.

The CCR parameters table is predefined and is used by the radio resource management in network planning. Eight sets of parameters are shown in Table 1 by way of example. The table may, however, include a larger set of parameters.

10 Carrier(s) for which the coverage area is fixed, and which have the widest coverage (i.e. the BCCH in the described example) form the coverage layer for the radio cell. Carriers for which the coverage area can be changed, and which may be added/removed to vary capacity (i.e. traffic carriers), form the capacity layer for the radio cell. The principles of the present invention allocate the
 15 available BTS transmit power between the coverage layer and the capacity layer in such a way that the performance of the cell/network is optimised. Any single carrier in the capacity layer cannot have wider coverage (more power) than the coverage layer. However, it is nevertheless possible to allocate more power to certain users (radio time slots) or carriers in the capacity layer so that they can
 20 reach coverage of the coverage layer extremes, or have a better quality of service (e.g. data throughput), if needed. This feature ensures that users in the capacity layer can be served over the whole cell area provided that the total power allocated to the capacity layer is not exceeded. When the power of the capacity layer starts to reach its maximum value (e.g. 90%) some users are preferably
 25 dynamically moved to the coverage layer. The coverage layer provides a basic and fixed coverage and capacity over the whole cell.

The coverage of the capacity layer is an important parameter that effects the network performance and planning in terms of maximum available traffic carriers. It is preferable to define a minimum available transmit power for the traffic
 30 carrier(s), which is then used to guarantee that all user connections in the capacity layer have a certain performance (coverage and Quality of Service). The minimum

available carrier transmit power then sets a lower limit to the coverage of the capacity layer.

CCR	Relative power of the BCCH carrier	Total capacity (no of carriers; BCCH+traffic carriers)	Relative power of the traffic carrier (capacity layer)	Capacity ratio	Power ratio
1	1	1	N/A	1:0	1:0, Pole coverage
2	0.5	2	N/A	2:0	1:0
3	0.5	3	0.25	1:2	1:1
4	0.5	4	0.1666	1:3	1:1
5	0.5	5	0.125	1:4	1:1
6	0.25	4	0.25	1:3	1:3
7	0.25	6	0.15	1:5	1:3
8	0.25	8	0.11	1:7, Pole capacity	1:3

Table 1

5

It should be noted that Table 1 is a representation of an example, and appropriate tables will vary according to implementation scenarios. Table 1 (like Table 2 below) provides examples for only an 8-carrier system, but at the same time probably represent the most useful combinations for such a system. If there is provided a unit that can produce only 4 carriers then the parameters from CCR1 to CCR4 can be used. If there is provided a unit that can produce 12 carriers then more CCR parameters should be defined. The intention of the tables is to provide examples to help visualise what kinds of carrier combinations are possible and can be utilised by the radio resource management of the system.

10

If required, the coverage layer may be allocated more than one carrier. Furthermore, the capacity layer may be further divided into sub-layers. This type of network topology is exemplified by Table 2.

CCR	Relative power of the coverage carriers	Total capacity (no of carriers; BCCH+traffic carriers)	Relative power of the traffic carriers in the capacity layer 1	Relative power of the traffic carriers in the capacity layer 2	Capacity ratio	Power ratio
9	0.4	3	0.2	N/A	2:1	1:0.25
10	0.4	4	0.1	N/A	2:2	1:0.25
11	0.5	3	0.3	0.2	1:1:1	1:0.6:0.4
12	0.5	4	0.25	0.125	1:1:2	1:0.5:0.5
13	0.5	5	0.15	0.1	1:2:2	1:0.6:0.4
14	0.25	8	0.125	0.1	1:2:5 Pole capacity	1:1:2

5

Table 2

The radio resource management defines useful CCR parameters for different radio cells, on an implementation specific basis. Each cell in a network may have a unique CCR parameter table, or CCR parameter tables may be shared between two or more cells. CCR parameters may be used to effectively change the capacity of a cell, and to move capacity between cells if the operator's frequency band does not otherwise allow additional carriers to be taken into use. This is illustrated with reference to a practical implementation described with regard to Figures 7 to 10 below.

15 The radio resource management can dynamically change the CCR parameters of a cell taking into account any effect on adjacent cells. This leads to a system where certain CCR parameters work effectively in pairs. Figures 9 and 10,

described in further detail hereinbelow, show cellular systems which use parameter sets CCR 1 and 6 of Table 1 effectively, for example.

By way of illustration, it may be advantageous to change CCR parameter settings in the following example scenarios:

- 5 • The capacity of a cell is exceeded temporarily.
- More capacity is needed for a certain area during busy hours.
- Extension of the coverage of a cell during a lazy time (i.e. when there is a low number of users)

10 The capacity needs vary greatly, for example, in events and places such as: holiday resort, exhibitions, shopping malls, sport events, office areas etc.

Figure 2 shows the difference in downlink power dimensioning between a conventional radio cell and a DCCS radio cell in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention in a multicarrier system.

15 The linear power amplifier (LPA) design for a multicarrier system transmitter benefits significantly from the principles of the present invention. The LPA is very expensive as it has to be very powerful to meet high coverage and capacity demands simultaneously. Also, the size of the LPA has to be large and it produces a lot of heat due to relatively low efficiency (20%). This, in turn, sets a limit for the number of LPAs that can be put in a BTS cabinet.

20 Figures 2a-2e illustrate plots of power against frequency for a number of described scenarios. Figure 2a illustrates a plot of power against frequency for the pole coverage scenario. As shown, there is represented a single carrier component 202 having a power level of unity (1).

25 Figure 2b illustrates the representation in a conventional system where half of the pole coverage must be met by all carriers. In such case, only two carriers 204 and 206 may be supported by the system.

30 Figure 2c illustrates the system implementation for the CCR parameters CCR3 of Table 1 above. In the coverage layer there is provided a single carrier 108, and in the capacity layer there is provided a carrier 210 and a carrier 212. The carrier 108 takes half the total power, and the carriers 210 and 212 each take a quarter.

Figure 2d illustrates the scenario for the case of the CCR parameter CCR5 from Table 1 above. In the coverage layer there is provided a single carrier 114, whilst in the capacity layer there is provided four carriers 216, 218, 220 and 222. The carrier 108 takes half the total power, and the carriers 216 to 222 each take an eighth.

Figure 2e represents the scenario in a conventional network arrangement where there is a requirement to support four carriers. Four carriers 224, 226, 228 and 230 are shown, each of which has a maximum power of a quarter of the total power. No variance is possible. The power is shared equally.

In practice a conventional system provides, for example see Figure 2b, only a two carrier capacity if a half (0.5) of the pole coverage has to be met by one carrier (the broadcast channel), whilst the present invention using CCR 5 enables the provision of a capacity of 5 carriers (i.e. 150% more capacity). Conversely 150% less transmit power is needed for the same capacity as in the conventional system.

If the LPA maximum output power is 50 W in an antenna connector and the power is evenly allocated between coverage and capacity layers then, for example, and referring to Table 1, CCR3 gives 25 W to the coverage carrier and 12.5 W (3 dB less) to each capacity carrier (Figure 2c). Similarly referring to Table 1, CCR5 gives 6.3 W (i.e. 6 dB less than the coverage carrier) to capacity carriers (Figure 2d). In theory 6 dB less power means halving the cell range, but in practice the cell range reduces only about 25-35% depending on the environment. Accordingly a 3 dB reduction in power corresponds to 15-20% reduction in the cell range. 30% reduction in the cell range corresponds to about a 50% ($0.7 \times 0.7 = 0.49$) reduction in the serving area of the cell, and a 20% reduction in the cell range corresponds to about 36% ($0.8 \times 0.8 = 0.64$) reduction in the serving area of the cell.

Figures 3a and 3b illustrate plots of power against frequency corresponding to CCR parameters CCR5 of Table 1 above. Figure 3c represents the theoretical implementation of the CCR parameter conditions CCR5.

The coverage layer has a single carrier 302, and the capacity layer has four carriers 304, 306, 308 and 310 each having a power level of 0.125, as represented by Figure 3a. Figure 3b illustrates the practical result of such an

implementation. Again the coverage layer has a single carrier 312 having a power level of 0.5. The capacity layer has four carriers 314, 316, 318, 320. As can be seen, the power level reduction for each of the carriers in the capacity layer varies according to the appropriate carrier range.

5 Referring to Figure 3c, there is illustrated the possible implementation of a low power amplifier in the transceiver. A low power amplifier 330 may receive five carriers on a single input line and output the amplifier signals on a single output line. Alternatively a low power amplifier 332 may receive five carriers in parallel at its input, and output five carriers on its single output line. The power amplifiers
10 330, 332 thus show two different ways to implement a multicarrier system.

Figure 3b illustrates that the capacity layer's carriers, such as carrier 314, may utilize the power in the capacity layer such that some mobile stations can reach the edge of the cell without having to change connections to the coverage layer. Figure 3b visualizes that the 6 dB difference between the carriers in the layers
15 (0.5/0.125) is actually the difference on average. Thus certain carriers in the capacity layer may use more than one eighth of the power available (e.g. carrier 314) whilst others may use less (e.g. carriers 318, 320). The power allocation between carriers in the capacity layer may vary in accordance with the current location of a mobile with which the carrier is associated.

20 In implementing embodiments of the present invention, the balance to be found is how the performance difference between the layers can be decreased without excessively compromising the coverage and capacity of the radio cell.

Intrinsically, the multicarrier system can dynamically change the number of carriers and thus dynamically allocate the power between the carriers and the
25 layers. This feature can be used in the capacity layer for coverage enhancement or QoS enhancement for some of the users as long as the available power resources (power, processing power, carrier numbers) are not exceeded. So, with CCR5 from Table 1 for example, the 6 dB difference in the performance of the layers is an average value and the virtual difference may be less, as shown in
30 Figure 3b.

An embodiment of the present invention has been described hereinabove by way of reference to an example application to a multicarrier environment. The

invention may be also applied in a single carrier environment, and an example embodiment in a single carrier environment is described hereinafter.

Single carrier system

In a single carrier system multiple carriers are combined for a single antenna by using antenna combining units. Where new antennas are not added, the addition of new carriers increases the downlink combining loss. In a conventional system adapted to divide the radio cell into two layers (such as ICE or IUO), there is typically a permanent performance (coverage and capacity) difference between the layers.

This embodiment of the present invention allows different types of combining systems and enhancement features to be utilized in the carriers of the layers. The utilized enhancement methods provide necessary dynamics for the system reducing the performance difference of the layers, similar to the multicarrier system described hereianabove.

Table 3 shows an example of the Coverage and Capacity Ratio (CCR) parameter table for an example single carrier system incorporating Dynamic Downlink Diversity (DDD) and/or Dynamic Power Combining (DPC) techniques, in accordance with embodiments of the invention, for eight single carrier branches, which are combined in two transmit antennas. The relative power of the single transmitter branch is 1. However, the pole coverage can be greater than 1 due to enhancement features. The number of carriers combined in antennas, which are serving the cell, determines the pole capacity.

The system enhancements to allow a pole coverage of greater than 1 are best described by way of example. Consider a simple system of two power amplifiers.

In a single carrier system these two amplifiers may be used to transmit a different signal each, or each may transmit the same signal (carrier/frequency). The power of the power amplifier is 1. If the same signal (frequency, carrier) is sent through two power amplifiers then the total power is $2 \times 1 = 2$ for that signal, and in this case the system can produce only one carrier. If there are two downlink antennas available this same signal can be sent through both without doing any precombining. The pole coverage is now 2 because the signal has 3-dB wider

coverage than the signal that is sent by a single amplifier and antenna. This is called downlink diversity transmission, which is a well-known technique.

If there is only one downlink antenna available the two signals, being the two same signal may be precombined by using a DPC (downlink power combining) technique, which again provides the coverage gain of 3 dB compared to the single amplifier system. The power combining is also a well-known technique.

The pole coverage can thus go as high as there are power amplifiers in the system, if the number of antenna is the same as the amount of power amplifiers. I.e. in an 8-amplifier system the pole coverage can be 8 if all amplifiers have their own antenna (8). However, the practical implementation of these 'higher order' systems is not feasible.

As with Table 1 above, the first column of Table 3 lists the number of the CCR parameters. The second column lists the power of the BCCH carrier relative to the other carriers in the cell. The third column lists the total capacity, i.e. the total number of carriers in the cell. In the example, the number of carriers is the BCCH carrier plus any traffic carriers. The fourth column lists the relative power of the traffic carrier(s) in the capacity layer to the traffic carrier(s) in the coverage layer. The fifth and sixth columns respectively list the capacity ratio and the power ratio.

Referring to Table 3, it can be seen that there is again defined a set of eight CCR parameters.

A practical implementation of DDD and DPC techniques of the embodiments of the present invention for single carrier systems is described further hereinbelow.

CCR	Relative power of the BCCH carrier	Total capacity (no of carriers; BCCH+traffic carriers)	Relative power of the traffic carrier (capacity layer)	Capacity ratio	Power ratio
1	2	2	2	1:1	2:2, Pole coverage
2	2	3	1	1:2	2:1
3	2	5	0.25	1:4	2:0.25

4	1	4	1	1:3	1:1
5	1	7	0.25	1:6	1:0.25
6	0.5	4	0.5	1:3	0.5:0.5
7	0.5	7	0.25	1:6	0.5:0.25
8	0.25	8	0.25	1:7 Pole capacity	0.25:0.25

Table 3

DDD and/or DPC methods can be used separately for each user connection (i.e. carrier) in the capacity layer if the coverage for that carrier or its QoS needs improving. The principle of embodiments of the present invention in the single carrier implementation is to allocate the available radio time slots between the users in the capacity layer in such a way that the performance of the cell/network is optimised. Thus, the performance difference of the coverage and capacity layers is reduced significantly.

A drawback in the single carrier embodiment is that the connections, which are using DDD and/or DPC techniques, reduce the number of the available radio time slots (i.e. the capacity) of the capacity layer.

In the multicarrier system described hereinabove increasing the transmit power for some users reduces the available transmit power for others, i.e. the available transmit power is just divided differently between the users, but capacity is not lost.

However, the performance enhancing features ensure that users in the capacity layer can be served over the whole cell area provided that the total capacity allocated to the capacity layer is not exceeded. When the capacity of the capacity layer starts to reach its maximum value (e.g. 90%) some DDD and/or DPC users may be moved to the coverage layer. The coverage layer provides a basic and fixed coverage and capacity over the whole cell.

As in the multicarrier system, the radio resource management defines useful CCR parameters for different radio cells. Again CCR parameters can be used effectively to change the capacity of the cell, and to move capacity between the cells if an operator's frequency band does not otherwise allow additional carriers to be taken into use. The radio resource management can dynamically change the CCR parameters of the cell taking into account any effect on adjacent cells.

The single carrier system is more challenging for a DCCS implementation because the number of carriers and power are typically fixed in single carrier (SC) technology. Furthermore, compared to the multicarrier system, in single carrier systems, the CCR parameters have slightly different values because every carrier has its own power amplifier. That is, adding more carriers increases available power, but combining losses also have to be taken into account if the number of antennas is not increased. There are some techniques which can provide reasonable dynamic properties for the single carrier system.

A Dynamic Downlink Diversity (DDD) system and a Dynamic Power Combining (DPC) system implementation of a single carrier system in accordance with the present invention are described hereinafter.

An example of a DDD system in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention is shown in Figure 4. Figure 4a illustrates an implementation of a transmitter in a single carrier system implementing dynamic downlink diversity. A first set of power amplifiers 402, 404, 406, 408 receive, respectively, the broadcast control channel carrier, and three traffic channel carriers. The summer 418 sums the outputs of the amplifiers 402, 404 and a summer 420 sums the outputs of the amplifiers 406, 408. The summer 426 adds the output of the summers 418 and 420 and drives a first antenna 430. A second set of amplifiers 410, 412, 414, 416 receive, respectively, the broadcast control channel carrier and three traffic channel carriers. The summer 422 sums the output of the amplifiers 410 and 412, and the summer 424 sums the output of the amplifiers 414 and 416. The summer 428 sums the outputs of the summers 422 and 424 and drives a second antenna 432.

The coverage layer is enhanced using a downlink diversity transmission for the BCCH carrier (power $0.25+0.25=0.5$). The capacity layer (6 carriers) may also

utilize DDD. That is, if a speech user needs more power than available in the capacity layer the radio resource management reserves another suitable radio time slot (RTS) for it, and requests the BTS to perform a diversity transmission. The reserved RTSs (the same signal) are allocated so that they are sent through two different antennas at the same time and frequency (DL-delay diversity) giving the same performance as in the coverage layer. Accordingly, RRM can reserve also more RTSs for a connection, which is using a data session (GPRS, HSCSD etc). Thus dynamic downlink diversity is utilised in the capacity layer and/or the coverage layer.

Figure 4b illustrates a graphical plot of power against frequency for this scenario. The coverage layer is associated with the single carrier 440 having a power level of 0.5, through diversity transmissions in two antennas. The capacity layer is associated with six traffic carriers having power levels of 0.25. However using diversity techniques, ones of the traffic carriers in the capacity layer may utilise diversity techniques to achieve the same power as in the coverage layer, through diversity transmission in two antennas.

In this example the minimum capacity is 4 carriers (including BCCH) with maximum coverage when all connections use DDD (CCR6, table 3). And maximum capacity (including BCCH) is 7 carriers when only BCCH carrier uses DDD (CCR7). The relative coverage is 0.5.

It will be apparent to one skilled in the art how different variations and power control may be achieved if more than two antennas are provided. The use of DDD techniques allows power control to be achieved even within the 'fixed' power structure of a single carrier system.

An example of the dynamic power combining (DPC) system for DCCS in accordance with another single carrier embodiment is presented in Figure 5. A first set of power amplifiers 502, 504, 506, 508 receive the broadcast control channel carrier (at amplifiers 502 and 504) and two traffic channel carriers. A summer 518 sums the output of the amplifiers 502 and 504, and a summer 520 sums the output of the amplifiers 506 and 508. A summer 526 sums the output of the summer 518 and 520 and drives the first antenna 530. A second set of power amplifiers 510, 512, 514, 516 receive, respectively, an input from four further

traffic channel carriers. A summer 522 sums the outputs of the amplifiers 510 and 512, and a summer 524 sums the output of the amplifiers 514 and 516. A summer 526 sums the output of the amplifiers 522 and 524 and drives a second antenna 532. The summer 518 to 528 may preferably comprise frequency and combining units.

The DPC system increases the power of signals by using two power amplifiers for the same carrier. The carrier coming from two different transmit branches is combined coherently (same phase and amplitude) producing one high power signal in the output of the combining unit.

10 In Figure 5a it can be seen that the BCCH carrier forms an input to both power amplifiers 502 and 504, the outputs of which are combined. Thus, the coverage layer is enhanced using a 'fixed' DPC system for BCCH carrier (antenna power =1).

15 The capacity layer (6 carriers in the example) can similarly utilize DPC. That is, if a speech user needs more power than available in the capacity layer, the RRM reserves another suitable RTS for it and requests the BTS to perform dynamic power combining. The reserved RTSs (for the same signal) are allocated so that they are sent through two different power amplifiers using the same frequency and combining unit. The user then has the same performance as in the coverage layer.

20 Accordingly, the RRM can reserve an appropriate number of RTSs for a carrier, which is using a data session (GPRS, HSCSD etc). This is illustrated graphically by the power to frequency plot of Figure 5b. A single carrier having a power level of unity (1) 534 is provided in the coverage layer. Six carriers generally represented by 536 having a power level of 0.25 are provided in the capacity layer. The power of certain ones of these carriers may be increased using the techniques described above.

30 In this example the minimum capacity is 4 carriers with maximum coverage when all connections are using DPC (CCR4, Table 3) and maximum capacity is 7 carriers when only BCCH carrier uses DPC (CCR5). The relative coverage is 1.

All values of the CCR parameters in the Table 3 can be realised using the same BTS configuration (transceivers, combiners, antenna). Figure 6 described below, shows an ideal coverage solution for the single carrier DCCS. In this example the DDD and DPC are utilized at the same time.

- 5 As in the multicarrier system, the power amplifier in the single carrier branch can be dimensioned to have much lower output power than in a conventional single carrier system. For example, in order to simultaneously get relative coverage of 1 and capacity of seven carriers (CCR5) the power amplifiers have to produce 6 dB more output power in the conventional system.
- 10 Referring to Figure 6a, the BCCH carrier forms an input to power amplifiers 602, 604, 610, 612. A traffic carrier forms an input to power amplifiers 606, 608, 614, 616. The outputs of amplifiers 602, 604 are combined in combiner 618, the outputs of amplifiers 606, 608 are combined in combiner 620, the outputs of amplifiers 610, 612 are combined in combiner 622, the outputs of amplifiers 614, 616 are combined in combiner 624, the outputs of combiners 618, 620 are combined in combiner 626, and the outputs of combiners 622, 624 are combined in combiner 628. The outputs of combiners 626 and 628 drive antennas 630 and 632 respectively.

The combiner 618 provides DPC for the BCCH carrier, and the combiner 622 provides DPC for the BCCH carrier in a diversity channel. Similarly the combiner 620 provides DPC for traffic carrier, and the combiner 628 provides DPC for the traffic carrier in a diversity channel.

As illustrated in Figure 6b, by utilising the DDD and DPC techniques in combination, the BCCH carrier 640 and the single traffic carrier 642 each have a power of 2. Figure 6 illustrate how the technique may be combined to maximise power.

The above describes examples of the application of the principles of the present invention to multi-carrier systems and single carrier systems.

Figures 7 to 10 are now used to illustrate different network scenarios for Omni sites in embodiments of the multicarrier system. However, the same techniques apply for sectorized sites and for the single carrier system as well.

Figure 7 highlights the single cell case where increasing the carrier number from two to five reduces the cell range by about 25% (4 dB less power per carrier) in a conventional system. The DCCS provides the same coverage as the conventional two-carrier cell, and furthermore, the capacities of 5 carriers only 30% reduction in the range of the capacity layer (4 carriers). Reference numeral 700 denotes a conventional cell with two carriers. Reference numeral 702 denotes a conventional cell with five carriers. The drop in coverage area between cells 700 and 702 is apparent. Reference numeral 706 denotes the coverage layer of a cell in accordance with the invention, and reference numeral 704 the capacity layer.

Figure 8 shows the network case where the DCCS provides 50-150% (CCR3-5) more capacity to the area without reduction in the coverage. Typically the cells are overlapping so that there is more capacity available at the cell edges than a single DCCS cell could provide.

Figure 9 shows the case where the DCCS system is used for dynamic change of the network topology. The capacity of the middle cell 902 is increased and the coverage of the surrounding cells is increased for filling possible coverage holes.

Figure 10 shows the case where the DCCS system is used for dynamic change of the network topology during 'lazy' hours. The micro cells 950, 952, 954 in the middle of the network area may be 'turned-off', and the coverage holes can be filled with CCR1 cells 960, 962, 964, 966.

Embodiments of the Dynamic Coverage and Capacity Solution in accordance with the invention thus provide an advanced multi-layer macrocell network, while the conventional radio network uses hardware limited macro-, micro and picocells for a multi-layer system. The DCCS provides a controlled cell 'breathing' mechanism for TDMA systems. Adding more capacity to the system does not require any reduction in the cell coverage.

The separation of the coverage and capacity layers also opens the possibility for other separate downlink enhancement methods, which are feasible for different layers. The performance difference of the layers can be reduced by antenna and/or frequency hopping for capacity carriers, using separate antenna combining systems/configurations (e.g. multiantenna system) and linear power amplifiers for different layers, multi timeslot transmission etc.

Intelligent Underlay Overlay (IUO) algorithms may be used for handover procedures and allocating traffic between capacity and coverage layers. Also other algorithms may be developed, e.g. based for user power, service (data), C/I ratio etc. A new algorithm may also be provided for allocating traffic between carriers in the capacity layer. This is especially the case in the single carrier case where some system resources are preferably reserved for DDD/DPC functionality. The development of such algorithms is within the scope of one skilled in the art.

Other characteristics of the invention are

- i) No needs for standard changes.
- ii) DDD gain >3 dB due to diversity.
- iii) Dynamic power distribution in the capacity layer improves data properties.
- iv) Interference reduction and spectral efficiency.
- v) FH, (E)AMR, EDGE as normal

The solution proposed by the present invention, termed dynamic coverage and capacity solution (DCCS), thus provides a new cellular network topology for TDMA (GSM etc) systems utilising a multicarrier (MC) system, or utilising a single carrier (SC) system with equivalent properties. For simplification and ease of description, the radio cell is divided into a 'coverage' layer and a 'capacity' layer. The coverage layer provides a basic coverage and capacity over the whole cell, which is fixed. The capacity layer is dimensioned to meet the capacity (i.e. number of carriers) and the quality of service (e.g. coverage/data throughput) depending on the requirement of the cellular system. The amount of radio carriers (capacity) and downlink coverage (power, diversity) can be dynamically changed between the carriers and layers depending on the coverage and capacity requirement of the system.

The separation of coverage and capacity layers opens the possibility for separate downlink enhancement methods which are feasible for different layers. Especially advantageous embodiments of the invention utilise multiantenna technology (e.g. dynamic downlink diversity) and/or dynamic power combining systems for the different layers, and also carriers within the layers. These technologies may also

provide a dynamic coverage and service enhancement for a single carrier system, while the multicarrier system properties are dynamic by nature.

The uplink of a network implementing the present invention preferably functions as in a normal cellular radio network, i.e. the uplink covers the whole cell area without limitations, and uplink capacity follows the downlink capacity of the system.

The invention effectively optimises radio resources of the network leading to a more efficient radio spectrum utilization. Furthermore, the performance of base transceiver station (BTS) transmitters can be optimised by the present invention, which reduces the cost of the hardware. More efficient spectrum utilization and reduced hardware costs result in cheaper radio network deployment.

The invention thus adjusts dynamically the coverage and capacity of a radio cell provided that network elements have required properties. The invention utilises a multicarrier system or a single carrier system where performance of the carriers is enhanced in a controlled manner. The present invention thus provides a tool for planning and controlling a radio network in different types of coverage and capacity scenarios, realising that the system does not have to provide all capacity all the time over the whole cell area. In the present invention it is assumed that the users are, in general, uniformly distributed over the cell coverage area. The host cell can serve more users near the BTS site, and a service of several cells is available at the cell edge providing adequate capacity over the whole service area.

The invention proposes that the traffic carriers do not have to reach BCCH coverage but the available downlink resources (transmit power, diversity) can be divided between the BCCH and traffic carriers in a controlled way by the radio resource management (RRM), allowing dynamic coverage and capacity allocation. In the multicarrier system the idea is to divide the available BTS transmit power between a coverage layer (BCCH carrier) and capacity layer (traffic carriers) in a controlled way. Accordingly, in the single carrier system the available downlink enhancement features (e.g. diversity and power combining) are utilized between the coverage layer and capacity layer in a controlled way. The invention has the following benefits (at least):

- i) Sophisticated capacity and coverage layer control provides the same network performance with less resources. This leads to a cheaper radio network, no waste of resources, efficient spectrum utilization.
- 5 ii) BTS transmitters and antenna combining systems do not have to be designed to provide the same high power for all carriers. This leads to cheaper hardware design, smaller size, less heat dissipation etc.
- 10 iii) The radio network coverage and capacity pattern (topology) is easy to change and it can be done dynamically. RRM can, for example, adjust the radio network dynamically in a way such that during the busy hour the hot spots have more channel capacity provided by smaller cells and surrounding areas have less capacity but high radio power cells to fill in the coverage demand.
- 15 iv) Adding more capacity to the system does not mean reduction in the cell coverage any more. Coverage reduction in the high capacity cell is small if the capacity is dynamically controlled by RRM in the proposed way .
- v) Some cells/sites may be even shut down during the times with less traffic without reduction in the network service.
- vi) Reduces total transmit power throughout the network, reducing radio interference. This leads to more efficient spectrum utilization.

20 The invention has been described herein by way of example, with reference to preferable embodiments. The invention is not limited to such embodiments, nor to any aspects thereof. The scope of protection is defined by the appended claims.

CLAIMS:

1. A cellular communication system comprising at least one cell, said cell being defined by a coverage layer defining a coverage of said cell and a capacity layer at least partly defining a capacity of said cell.
5
2. A cellular communication system according to claim 1 in which a power level of a carrier in the downlink of the coverage layer defines the coverage of said cell.
- 10 3. A cellular communication system according to claim 2 wherein said power level is variable.
4. A cellular communication system according to any one of claims 1 to 3 in which the number of carriers in the capacity layer is variable.
15
5. A cellular communication system according to claim 4 wherein the power level of any carrier in the capacity layer is variable.
6. A cellular communication system according to any one of claims 1 to 5 in which a total transmission power for the downlink is divided between the coverage and capacity cellular layers.
20
7. A cellular communication system according to claim 6 wherein the power available for each of the coverage and capacity layers is divided between the carriers in the respective layers.
25
8. A cellular communication system according to any one of claims 1 to 7 wherein the system is a multi-carrier system.

9. A cellular communication system according to any one of claims 1 to 7 wherein the system is a single carrier system.
10. A method of configuring a cellular communication system, comprising:
5 determining a coverage layer for a cell defining a coverage of said cell; and
determining a capacity layer for the cell at least partly defining a capacity of said cell.
11. A method according to claim 10 wherein a power level of a carrier in the
10 coverage layer defines the coverage of said cell.
12. A method according to claim 11 wherein said power level is variable.
13. A method according to any one of claims 10 to 12 in which the number of
15 carriers in the capacity layer is variable.
14. A method according to claim 13 wherein the power level of any carrier in the capacity layer is variable.
- 20 15. A method according to any one of claims 10 to 14 wherein there is a total available power for the downlink, said power being divided between the coverage and capacity layers.
- 25 16. A method according to claim 15 when dependent upon claim 14 wherein the addition of a carrier in the capacity layer includes selectively reducing the power of one or more carriers in the capacity layer.

17. A method according to any one of claims 10 to 16 wherein a connection using a carrier in the capacity layer is transferred to a carrier in the coverage layer to increase coverage for said connection.

5 18. A method according to any one of claims 10 to 16 wherein a connection using a carrier in the coverage layer is transferred to a carrier in the capacity layer to increase capacity of the cell.

10 19. A base station of a mobile communication system including means for transmitting a carrier at a predetermined power level thereby defining a coverage area of a cell, and means for transmitting a variable number of carriers thereby defining, at least in part, a capacity of the cell.

15 20. A base station according to claim 19 wherein the power levels of the variable numbers of carriers is in dependence on the proximity of a mobile station associated with a carrier to the base station.

20 21. A base station according to claim 20 wherein the total power of the variable number of carriers is predetermined, the share of said predetermined power amongst the variable number of carriers being determined by the total number of said carriers.

25 22. A base station according to claim 21 wherein the means for transmitting a variable number of users is adapted to reduce the power allocated to one or more carriers responsive to an increase in the number of carriers.

Abstract

There is disclosed a cellular communication system comprising at least one cell, said cell being defined by a coverage layer defining a coverage of said cell and a capacity layer at least partly defining a capacity of said cell.

5

[Figure 1]

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)

1/6




FIG 1

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)




FIG 2

... difference between f1 layers and f2 layers ... coverage and capacity of the system ...




FIG 3

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)





Fig 4

(a)

(b)





Fig 5

(a)

(b)

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)

FIG. 6





FIG 6




FIG 7

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)




Fig 8




Fig 9

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)




Fig 10

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)

SQUIRE SANDERS & DEMPSEY L.L.P.
8000 Towers Crescent Drive, 14th Floor
Tysons Corner, Virginia 22182-2700

Docket No. 59643.00310

Serial No. 10/699,689

Filed: November 4, 2003 Group Art Unit: 2687

**This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning
Operations and is not part of the Official Record**

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

- ☐ **BLACK BORDERS**
- ☐ **IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES**
- ☐ **FADED TEXT OR DRAWING**
- ☐ **BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING**
- ☐ **SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES**
- ☒ **COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS**
- ☒ **GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS**
- ☒ **LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT**
- ☐ **REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY**
- ☐ **OTHER:** _____

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)